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| **Technology Across the Curriculum Committee Agenda** | **01/21/2022****11:00am-12:00pm****WebEx**  |
| *Members*: Katheryn Chiapelli, Co-Chair Bruce Farris, Justin Barnaby, Co-Chair Bill Garlick, Andy George, Kellee Goff, John Hendzel, Jennifer Hilker, Dan Holt, Susan Jepsen, Megan Lin, Jim Luke, Chris Richards, Paul Schwartz, Marc Smyth, Joann Silsby, John Szilagyi, Brenda Young |
|  |
| Agenda Review/New Agenda Items 1. Senate Group for future discussion on plagiarism – TAC members interested in serving

Notes: Looking for volunteers from TAC to serve on the senate group on plagiarism.* Susan Jepsen would like to be involved.
* Dan Holt
* Joanne Silsby

Plagiarism is more of an academic culture norm rather than a legal one in many cases. This is different from the topic of Cheating.Big Data/AI scanning is heavily involved in the industry.As we stay longer online, this could be steered toward proctored exams and the like. Webcam/microphone additionally in writing center and classrooms:Writing center annex, wanting to use webex, no microphone/web cam. Would be valuable for front desk employee to jump into webex for student support.Thinking further, desire to have classroom web camera/microphone in the classroom. Bruce also teaching labs on campus this summer, with students being out of the class due to covid, would be helpful to have some options for the F2F sections. Jim – Opinion that this has purpose/value beyond the pandemic. There would be value in having a smaller footprint at the teaching station vs. the full classroom lecture/captureMarc – The college has gone through an initiative for lecture/capture classroom conversion. How do we choose/decide what approach provides the best value and selection of options. Possible options of checkout or assignment to faculty for equipment.Brenda – Potential to have HEERF funding. Value is there for this. Preference would be to having equipment be permanent to the classrooms.Marc – classroom teaching stations could see this as a standard configuration. Need to analyze and determine how to make these options secure. Discussion on lecture capture vs. non. Covered privacy concerns.Megan – Presentations were done for PA days as part of CTE. From her data, the lecture capture classrooms are being utilized as normal classrooms. They have to be checked out and is not sure if they are being used to their most. Bruce – Lecture capture room was used for a webex meeting. Was a great example of what a student would experience. \*\* Any feedback or desired requirements, please send that information to Marc.Question from Susan – What is the feedback and usage of lecture capture? Answer – don’t have much feedback from faculty who are using it but will look to solicit that. **Action Item:** Run numbers, perform analysis of what is being asked, provide options and additional recommendations for next TAC meeting. Need to understand options and budget impact.Strategic Planning Committee for Systems TrainingThe mission of the committee ties well into what TAC is charged with. Paul will continue to champion information back and forth between TAC and the committee.Joann shared her experience from MSU. History of what was done in regards to system training was discussed. LCC has gone full circle of approach.High praise for the recent webex trainings done by John Hendzel. Well received and desire to see more of that style. Using systems to communicate has become critical during pandemic. Standardizing on communication options is key. Discussion on webex teams for TACWill continue to use both email and webex for communication with this group.  | Standing Item |
| Task Log Review  | Standing Item |
| Technology Usability and Training | Standing Item |
| Next Meeting and Call for Agenda Items* Future Meetings - 2/18,3/18,4/15 11-12 am
* Classroom discussion/recommendation
* Feedback on lecture capture usage
 |  |