

Minutes

Approved on 2/21/25

Name of Committee or Team: Committee for Assessing Student Learning (CASL)

Support Documents Link: CASL Senate website; CASL SharePoint; CASL Space on Webex

Recording link: N/A

- Committee Members Present via WebEx: Anna Mitterling, Chuck Page, Danielle Savory, Heidi Jordan, Homa Ghaussi Mujtaba, Jesse Mills, Kara Wiedman, Mark Kelland, Melinda Hernandez, Olga Correa, Rafeeq McGiveron, Rob McLoone, and Zachary Macomber.
- Resource/Ex-officio Members Present via WebEx: Dana Cogswell, Karen Hicks, and Tracy Nothnagel.
- Guest(s): Cheryl Garayta, Nicole Olszowy, and Nicole Reinhart-Huberty.
- Scribe: Terri Christian.

Date: 2/7/25	Time: 12:30 – 2pm	Room: Via Webex Next Steps	
Agenda Item	Discussion		
Approval of the 2/7/25 Agenda	Approved, Without objection.	N/A	
Approval of the 1/24/25 Minutes	Approved, Without objection.	N/A	
Assessment Tip of the Week Brainstorming Session - Danielle	 Danielle presented on behalf of the Website Subcommittee. Shared link, Spotlight on Assessment: Creation Guidelines Would like to move away from tips, there is a lot of good reference materials already on the website, and instead celebrate what is happening in Assessment. Content Ideas Faculty Assessment Experiences (this includes from CASL members). Favorite Assignments. Learning Outcome tools used and how it helped. What they learned from assessment data. Lessons learned from the Assessment Coordinators Reflections from course mapping. 	Karen and Website Subcommittee to continue working behind the scenes to gather content for this Spotlight on Assessment project.	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps	
	Proposed Process 1. Karen identifies potential content/faculty story and works with Assessment Coordinators. 2. Assessment Coordinators gather data and/or request approval from faculty to share their experiences. 3. Content is created and given to CASL Website Subcommittee for formatting and posting on the CASL Assessment Website.		
Assessment Tip of the Week Procedural Next steps	This agenda item addressed in previous item, "Spotlight on Assessment," detailed above.	N/A	
Course Mapping: Assessment Help for Faculty - Karen	 Course Mapping Status Update Karen has been attending a lot of meetings regarding this subject. Program meetings; 1:1 Consultations; Design sessions with departments; and Presentation to Provost Cabinet last week. 	Karen will be back in March to discuss what the May PA Days session will look like.	

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps	
	 Learning what faculty need from a wide array of different experience levels and courses. Presentations to administrators to get their support. 	•	
	May PA Days		
	 Have scheduled a slot to discuss course mapping. Intent is to offer a course mapping workshop with a fitness/wellness room option. Will be great to model things faculty can do for students and themselves to support the new Fitness/Wellness ELO. 		
Achievement Scale - Kara Reference: LCC Achievement Scale/Grading System PDF and D2L Existing Achievement Scale Google Doc.	Presented information Wrap up presentation of what is available for Learning Outcome mapping in D2L from last semester. Per previous CASL request, have updated from 4 to 5 level scale to correspond more closely to the grading scale. See image below: Change achievement scale 5 Level Achievement Scale (Aligns with LCC Grading Scale) Org. Default	 Karen and Kara to meet for further discussion of D2L rubric and reports. Kara to check in with Tracy, who is currently piloting the 5-level 	
	Failed Poor Satisfactory Good Excellent	system.	
	0 % 60 % 66 % 76 % 86 %	,	
	4 Level Achievement Scale (Not aligned with LCC Grading Scale)	Kara to report back in two weeks on this	
	Needs Improvement Developing Proficient Exemplary	issue.	
	0 % 60 % 70 % 85 %	o Group will	
	 This is at the section not course level, the teaching CRN. Requesting faculty be trained to use this 5-level scale to keep collected data formatted correctly. D2L defaults to 5-level scale but if faculty had previously set up their section on other scale will need to manually convert to it. Helpful if a master course template for LO could be set up to save faculty time mapping items when they could be copied over. Faculty would be able to edit their own section information but the base work would be set for them. 	continue discussion at that time	

Agenda Item		Discussion			
	Percentages are locked in at this time but descriptions of scale categories				
	car				
	Discussion	 Discussion College wide grading system scale percentages don't match the proposed 5 			
	• Co				
		el LO system.		and proposed	
			ith 66% Satisfactory	LO rating will be upset	
			•	would mean they fail and	
		do not get college cre		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	• Im	age of College Scale gradi		entages below:	
			Grading System		
		The following numerical system is u work:	sed at Lansing Community Colle	ege (LCC) to evaluate academic	
				Recommended Guideline for	
		College Standard Numeric Grade	Narrative Equivalent	Performance Achievement of Objectives*	
		4.0	Excellent	91% to 100%	
		3.5		86% to 90%	
		3.0	Good	81% to 85%	
		2.5	fortisforten.	76% to 80%	
		2.0 1.5	Satisfactory	71% to 75% 66% to 70%	
		1.0	Poor	60% to 65%	
		0.0F	Failed - Finished	0% to 59%	
		0.00	Failed - Unfinished	0% to 59%	
		*This guideline is recommended onl system used for a specific course.	ly. Students should see their ins	tructor regarding the grading	
	• Im	portant to note the LO an	d Grades are NOT th	e same.	
		confusion on their pa	art.	eir LO percentages to avoid	
		Some concern facultyWill have to t	•	as well. ade and LO scales which are	
			ough to be confusing.		
		_		em, very concerned about	
		confusion her		,,	
	Question	ns			
		w hard is it to change the			

Agenda Item	Discussion	Next Steps
Agenda Item	 Answer: It can't be done at this time, would have to create a whole new scale. Additional issue is that several course sections have already put the new scale into practice. Even just switching the main concern, 1.5 range, will require redoing the entire level structure. Will the varied scales lead to potential grade complaints or HLC issues? If students only see words/colors, not percentages, or don't see the LO scale at all there should be no issue. Yet is important for students to see their LO progress throughout the course. Especially in sequential courses. Might help motivate them as well. Some concern that if students find out items were hidden from them, even if it was to promote clarity, they might lose trust in the system. – Hiding may not be the best answer here. As long as faculty understand how LO scale is applied there should not be any HLC issues. When Karen is working with this information, she is analyzing raw data that is not affected by what scale it is rated in D2L. The report back to the instructor is also different than what D2L is showing. 	Next Steps
Future agenda items	 DEIB Practice in Assessment. Discussions on equitable assessment methods. 	N/A

Meeting adjourned at 1:27pm.

Next meeting 2/21/25, 12:30 – 2pm, via Webex.

WebEx Chat Information:

In Webex CASL Space

Attachments:

None

LCC provides equal opportunity for all persons and prohibits discriminatory practices based on race, color, sex, age, religion, national origin, creed, ancestry, height, weight, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, disability, familial status, marital status, pregnancy, related conditions, military status, veteran's status, or other status as protected by law, or genetic information that is unrelated to the person's ability to perform the duties of a particular job or position or participate in educational programs, courses, services or activities offered by the College. The notice of nondiscrimination is located online. To report information about conduct that may constitute sex discrimination or make a complaint of sex discrimination under Title IX, please refer to the Title IX and Sexual Misconduct Resources website.